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Background: Although obesity and mental health disorders are two major public health problems in adolescents that affect academic performance, few rigorously designed experimental studies have been conducted in high schools.

Purpose: The goal of the study was to test the efficacy of the COPE (Creating Opportunities for Personal Empowerment) Healthy Lifestyles TEEN (Thinking, Emotions, Exercise, Nutrition) Program, versus an attention control program (Healthy Teens) on: healthy lifestyle behaviors, BMI, mental health, social skills, and academic performance of high school adolescents immediately after and at 6 months post-intervention.

Design: A cluster RCT was conducted. Data were collected from January 2010 to May of 2012 and analyzed in 2012–2013.

Setting/participants: A total of 779 culturally diverse adolescents in the U.S. Southwest participated in the trial.

Intervention: COPE was a cognitive–behavioral skills-building intervention with 20 minutes of physical activity integrated into a health course, taught by teachers once a week for 15 weeks. The attention control program was a 15-session, 15-week program that covered common health topics.

Main outcome measures: Primary outcomes assessed immediately after and 6 months post-intervention were healthy lifestyle behaviors and BMI. Secondary outcomes included mental health, alcohol and drug use, social skills, and academic performance.

Results: Post-intervention, COPE teens had a greater number of steps per day ($p=0.03$) and a lower BMI ($p=0.01$) than did those in Healthy Teens, and higher average scores on all Social Skills Rating System subscales ($p$-values $<0.05$). Teens in the COPE group with extremely elevated depression scores at pre-intervention had significantly lower depression scores than the Healthy Teens group ($p=0.02$). Alcohol use was 12.96% in the COPE group and 19.94% in the Healthy Teens group ($p=0.04$). COPE teens had higher health course grades than did control teens. At 6 months post-intervention, COPE teens had a lower mean BMI than teens in Healthy Teens (COPE=24.72, Healthy Teens=25.05, adjusted $M=-0.34$, 95% CI $=-0.56$, $-0.11$). The proportion of those overweight was significantly different from pre-intervention to 6-month follow-up (chi-square $=4.69$, $p=0.03$), with COPE decreasing the proportion of overweight teens, versus an increase in overweight in control adolescents. There also was a trend for COPE Teens to report less alcohol use at 6 months ($p=0.06$).

Conclusions: COPE can improve short- and more long-term outcomes in high school teens.

Trial registration: This study is registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov NCT01704768.
 Introduction

Obesity and mental health disorders are two substantial public health problems that threaten the health outcomes and academic performance of adolescents. The prevalence rates of obesity and mental health/psychosocial problems are even higher in minority teens, with the two conditions often coexisting. Thirty-two percent of youth are now overweight (i.e., a gender and age-specific BMI at or above the 85th percentile) or obese (i.e., gender- and age-specific BMI at or above the 95th percentile). Obese teens are more likely to exhibit poor social skills and nutritional habits, inadequate academic performance, depression, stress, and anxiety than non-obese youth.

Further, approximately one in four teens has a mental health disorder, yet <25% of the 15 million youth affected receive treatment. Multiple factors contribute to obesity in adolescents, including decreased physical activity, poor nutrition, and depression. Because of the time that youth spend in learning environments, schools are an outstanding venue to provide teens with skills to improve their healthy lifestyle behaviors, mental health, social skills, and academic performance.

Few rigorously designed intervention studies have been conducted with high school adolescents to simultaneously target improvements in healthy lifestyle behaviors, psychosocial outcomes, and academic performance. Of those intervention studies conducted in high schools, the majority have targeted a single health outcome, such as substance abuse or depression. Therefore, it is largely unknown whether more-comprehensive health promotion programs also can be effective in improving adolescents’ health as well as their psychosocial skills and academic performance.

Further, intervention studies with high school teens have several important limitations, including lack of attention control or comparison groups, small sample sizes, and large attrition rates (i.e., >20%). In addition, many of the adolescent intervention studies that have been conducted measured outcomes immediately after the intervention and at <6 months post-intervention, so it is unknown whether the interventions sustained their effects for a longer period of time.

Recent systematic reviews of treatment and prevention studies for adolescent obesity conclude that more research is urgently needed in school-based settings, especially with culturally diverse groups.

Another review noted that strong conclusions about the efficacy of school-based obesity prevention programs cannot be drawn because there are few published studies, and the ones that have been published have major methodologic flaws. The public health problems of obesity and psychosocial/mental health adverse outcomes along with health disparities among teens highlight the need for evidence-based interventions in high schools to improve their health and academic performance.

The primary aim of this cluster RCT was to test the short- and longer-term efficacy of the COPE (Creating Opportunities for Personal Empowerment) Healthy Life-styles TEEN (Thinking, Emotions, Exercise, Nutrition) Program (referred to here as COPE), versus an attention control program (Healthy Teens), on the healthy lifestyle behaviors, BMI, psychosocial outcomes, social skills, and academic performance of high school adolescents aged 14–16 years. It was hypothesized that adolescents who received the COPE program would have healthier lifestyle behaviors and decreased BMI as well as improved mental health, social skills, and academic outcomes immediately following and at 6 months after the intervention than teens who received the attention control program.

Methods

Participants

Adolescents aged 14–16 years, primarily freshmen and sophomores, who were enrolled in required health education courses, were recruited during their classes in 11 high schools from two school districts in the southwestern U.S. The choice of schools was designed to provide diversity across race/ethnicity as well as SES. Inclusion criteria consisted of the following: teens of any gender, ethnicity/race, or SES; teens who assented to participation with a custodial parent who gave consent for their teen to participate; and those who could read and speak English. Exclusion criteria consisted of teens with a medical condition that would prevent them from participating in the physical activity component of the program. The university’s IRB approved the study, and an independent data and safety monitoring board monitored the research. A certificate of confidentiality was obtained in order to obtain data relating to teen alcohol and illicit drug use.

All teens in the selected health education courses in the 11 high schools were invited to participate in the study (Figure 1). Health is a required course for graduation in all 11 high schools that participated in this study. Research team members introduced the study to all students in each participating health class and sent consent/assent packets home with those teens who expressed interest in study participation. Teens who returned a signed assent and parent consent were enrolled in the study. All students in the health classes received either the COPE or Healthy Teens program; however, the study measures were obtained on only the students enrolled in the trial.

A total of 779 teens were enrolled in the study from January 2010 to December 2012. Teen demographic variables are described in Table 1. There were 521 (68.3%) that self-identified as Hispanic. Just over half of the sample was female (n=401, 51.5%).
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1560 teens were approached
807 randomized

374 allocated to COPE
16 consented but did not participate
38 participants lost post-intervention
24 did not receive intervention
10 missed data collection
2 asked to be withdrawn
7 no longer at school

433 allocated to Healthy Teens
12 consented but did not participate
44 participants lost post-intervention
32 did not receive intervention
8 missed data collection
3 asked to be withdrawn
1 no longer at school

320 analyzed post-intervention
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Design
This study was a prospective, blinded, cluster RCT that tested the efficacy of the COPE Program in improving the healthy lifestyle behaviors, BMI, psychosocial health, and academic performance of 779 high school teens. Schools within each of the two school districts were randomly assigned to receive either the COPE Program or the attention control Healthy Teens program. Random assignment of schools versus individual classrooms to study group was conducted in order to decrease the possibility of cross-group contamination between students in the same school, which would have threatened the study’s internal validity. Data were collected from January 2010 to December of 2012 and analyzed in 2012–2013. Teen participation in the study is delineated in Figure 1.

Interventions
The COPE program is a manualized 15-session educational and cognitive–behavioral skills-building program guided by cognitive theory, with physical activity as a component of each session. The COPE intervention was originally developed by the first author in 1990, refined in 2002, and pilot-tested three times with white, Hispanic, and African-American adolescents as a group intervention in high school settings. COPE sessions are detailed in Table 2. Each session of COPE contains 15–20 minutes of physical activity (e.g., walking, dancing, kick-boxing movements), not intended as an exercise training program, but rather to build beliefs in the teens that they can engage in and sustain some level of physical activity on a regular basis.

Pedometers were used throughout the intervention in order to reinforce the physical activity education component of COPE. Students were asked to increase their step counts by 10% each week regardless of baseline levels and to keep track of their daily steps on a tracking sheet so they could calculate a weekly average and determine if they met their weekly goal.

After a full-day training workshop on COPE, the teens’ high school health teachers integrated and taught the 15 COPE sessions once a week in their health course for 15 weeks. Teens received a COPE manual with homework activities for each of the 15 sessions that reinforced the content and skills in the program. A parent newsletter describing the content of the COPE program also was sent home with the teens four times during the course of the 15-week program, and the teens were instructed to review each newsletter with their parent(s) as part of their homework assignments.

The Healthy Teens program was designed as a 15-week attention control program to control for the time the health teachers in the COPE group spent delivering the experimental content to their students. Health teachers received a full-day training workshop on the Healthy Teens content. The content was manualized and focused on safety and common health topics/issues for teens, such as road safety, dental care, infectious diseases, immunizations, and skin care. Control teens also received a manual with homework assignments each week that focused on the topics being covered in class and were asked to review with his or her parent a newsletter that was sent home with the teens four times during the program.

The control program was administered in a format like that of the COPE intervention and included the same number and length of sessions as the experimental program, but there was no overlap of content between the two programs. Attention control students were provided with a pedometer for use only during the first week and post-intervention week (i.e., Week 16) in order to determine their average weekly steps for assessment purposes during those 2 weeks. COPE students, by contrast, used their pedometers and completed weekly step logs throughout the entire intervention period.

Assessment of Intervention Fidelity
Observers rated approximately 25% of the teachers’ intervention sessions using an observation instrument of intervention fidelity that was developed for use in the study. Inter-rater reliability of 90% for the fidelity observations between raters was maintained. In addition, teachers recorded the tasks accomplished in each intervention session as well as time spent on each task, impressions of the flow, and content and acceptance of the sessions in an intervention diary.

Outcomes Assessment
The primary outcomes were the adolescents’ healthy lifestyle behaviors as measured by physical activity that was captured by pedometer steps; the Healthy Lifestyles Behavior Scale (HLBS); and BMI. The pedometer used to measure steps (Yamex SW-200) is considered the standard in the healthcare and research industry due to its reliability and accuracy. The outcome of pedometer steps was measured immediately post-intervention. The HLBS is a self-report measure with 15 items that tap healthy behaviors (e.g., “I exercise regularly”; “I talk about my worries or stressors”) on a 5-point Likert-type scale that ranges from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree. The HLBS has construct validity and Cronbach alpha reported at $\geq 0.80$.$^{15}$ Secondary outcomes included depressive and anxiety symptoms, social skills, substance use, and academic performance (i.e., grade in the health course).
Heights and weights were obtained to calculate the teens’ BMI. Height was measured with a stadiometer and weight was measured with a Tanita scale. Subscales of the Beck Youth Inventory II©, a widely used and valid and reliable instrument, were used to assess the teens’ self-reported depressive and anxiety symptoms over time.26 Social skills were measured by the Social Skills Rating System©, which includes valid and reliable subscales of students’ social behaviors that are objectively rated by teachers post-intervention.27 Substance use was measured by students’ reports using questions from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey.17 Academic performance was measured by the students’ health course grade obtained from school records. Acculturation, a variable that was included as a possible control variable because of the anticipated large number of Hispanic adolescents in this study, was measured with The Acculturation, Habits, and Interests Multicultural Scale for Adolescents (AHIMSA), which has established construct validity and a reliability28 with this sample of 0.86.

Data Analysis

Sample size for the study was based on a power analysis for teen outcomes based on published research and pilot data. A number of simulations were run to assess power for the omnibus ANOVA tests and the a priori comparison of between-group differences at each time point, varying both the class size and the intraclass correlation coefficient. The sample size was further increased by 25% to allow for losses to follow-up. Linear mixed models and repeated-measures ANCOVAs with baseline measures entered as covariates were used to assess the study’s outcomes.

There were significant teen baseline differences between the groups on race/ethnicity (greater percentage of Hispanic teens in COPE); weight (higher BMI in COPE); acculturation (COPE teens had lower assimilation and greater integration and separation scores); and hours of TV watched on a school day (COPE teens watched more TV). Therefore, these variables were entered as covariates in the ANCOVA analyses.

Results

Approximately 50% of eligible teens participated in this study (Figure 1). Recruitment from the various schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total (N=779)</th>
<th>COPE (n=358)</th>
<th>Healthy teens (n=421)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, M (SD)b</td>
<td>14.74 (0.73)</td>
<td>14.75 (0.76)</td>
<td>14.74 (0.70)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genderc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>402 (51.60)</td>
<td>195 (54.50)</td>
<td>207 (49.20)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>377 (48.40)</td>
<td>163 (45.50)</td>
<td>214 (50.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicityd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>521 (68.30)</td>
<td>271 (77.40)</td>
<td>250 (60.50)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Native</td>
<td>27 (3.50)</td>
<td>10 (2.80)</td>
<td>17 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>31 (4.0)</td>
<td>7 (2.0)</td>
<td>24 (5.70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>77 (9.90)</td>
<td>30 (8.40)</td>
<td>47 (11.20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>110 (14.10)</td>
<td>31 (8.70)</td>
<td>79 (18.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>526 (67.50)</td>
<td>275 (76.80)</td>
<td>251 (59.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otherd</td>
<td>8 (1.0)</td>
<td>5 (1.40)</td>
<td>3 (0.70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, M (SD)b</td>
<td>24.43 (5.92)</td>
<td>24.93 (6.18)</td>
<td>24.01 (5.65)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI categoriesc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>14 (1.80)</td>
<td>1 (.30)</td>
<td>13 (3.10)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy weight</td>
<td>433 (55.60)</td>
<td>196 (54.70)</td>
<td>237 (56.30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>148 (19.0)</td>
<td>72 (20.10)</td>
<td>76 (18.10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>182 (23.40)</td>
<td>88 (24.60)</td>
<td>94 (22.30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>2 (0.30)</td>
<td>1 (0.30)</td>
<td>1 (0.20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps per day, M (SD)b</td>
<td>9975 (5261)</td>
<td>9990 (5326)</td>
<td>9959 (5203)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck Youth Inventories, M (SD)b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>48.43 (9.99)</td>
<td>49.00 (10.51)</td>
<td>47.94 (9.49)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>46.55 (9.57)</td>
<td>46.55 (10.20)</td>
<td>46.55 (9.02)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aUnadjusted M b t-test c x² test dIncludes Middle Eastern, Mexican/Irish/Cherokee/Caucasian, Erithrean, mixed race, Somali, Pakistani, and unreported COPE, Creating Opportunities for Personal Empowerment
ranged from 20.88% to 66.47%. Recruitment levels for Cohorts 1–3 were 44.85%, 44.78%, and 62.17%, respectively.

Immediate Post-intervention Outcomes
There was a significant difference between groups for physical activity as measured by daily pedometer steps (Table 3). COPE teens had significantly greater steps per day than did those in Healthy Teens (COPE mean = 13,681; Healthy Teens mean = 9619). No self-reported differences existed on the HLBS (F1,669 = 0.49, p = 0.48).

There also was a significant difference between groups for BMI. The COPE teens had a lower mean BMI than those in Healthy Teens (COPE mean = 24.57, Healthy Teens mean = 24.77, adjusted mean = -0.20, 95% CI = -0.35, -0.05).

There were significant differences between groups for three subscales of the Social Skills Rating System. COPE teens had higher average scores on all three subscales: (1) Cooperation (COPE mean = 15.50, 95% CI = 14.93, 16.06; Healthy Teens mean = 14.59, 95% CI = 14.07, 15.11); (2) Assertion (COPE mean = 13.30, 95% CI = 12.67, 13.93; Healthy Teens mean = 10.41, 95% CI = 9.81, 11.02); and (3) Academic Competence (COPE mean = 97.97, 95% CI = 96.35, 99.59; Healthy Teens mean = 95.69, 95% CI = 94.21, 97.18). In addition, COPE teens on average earned a higher grade in the health course than did those in Healthy Teens (COPE mean = 2.80, 95% CI = 2.63, 2.97; Healthy Teens mean = 2.46, 95% CI = 2.27, 2.65).

Post-intervention alcohol use was significantly different between the groups (chi-square 4.28, p = 0.04). Alcohol use was 12.96% in the COPE group and 19.94% in the Healthy Teens group. No self-reported differences existed on either the Beck Youth Inventory for Anxiety (F1,682 = 0.10, p = 0.75) or for Depression (F1,687 = 1.58, p = 0.21), although each group did decrease their scores from pre- to post-intervention on at least one measure. For anxiety, COPE pre-intervention F = 49.00 (10.51); post-intervention F = 47.64 (8.97); the Healthy Teens pre-intervention F = 47.94 (9.49); post-intervention F = 46.91 (8.29). For depression, COPE pre-intervention F = 46.55 (10.20); post-intervention F = 46.57 (8.07); Healthy Teens pre-intervention F = 47.54 (9.49); and post-intervention F = 46.91 (8.29). For depression, COPE pre-intervention F = 46.55 (10.20); post-intervention F = 46.57 (8.07); Healthy Teens pre-intervention F = 46.55 (9.02); post-intervention F = 45.88 (8.07). However, teens in the COPE group with extremely elevated depression scores at pre-intervention had significantly lower depression scores at post-intervention than the Healthy Teens group (F1,12 = 6.98, p = 0.02). Post-intervention, adjusted means were COPE 44.43 (5.53) and Healthy Teens 66.23 (5.12).

Among COPE teens, 78% of the COPE teens reported that the program was helpful on the post-intervention evaluation questionnaire with hundreds of comments.
regarding specifically how COPE helped them. Students reported that the most-helpful program elements in COPE were the content on stress and coping, nutrition, and exercise. Among parents, 92% indicated that the program was helpful for their teens, and 94% of parents reported that they would recommend the program to family or friends. A total of 82% of parents agreed that information shared with them through the COPE newsletters was helpful.

6-Month Post-Intervention Outcomes

There was a significant difference between groups for BMI at the 6-month post-intervention follow-up assessment. COPE teens had a lower mean BMI than those in Healthy Teens (COPE = 24.72, Healthy Teens = 25.05, adjusted mean = −0.34, 95% CI = −0.56 to −0.11). Further, there was a significant change in the proportion of overweight between the groups from pre-intervention to 6-month follow-up (chi-square = 4.69, p = 0.03). COPE teens decreased from 0.411 to 0.4156; those in Healthy Teens increased from 0.4101 to 0.4311, adjusting for the covariates (Figure 2). For the COPE teens in the healthy weight category at baseline, 143 (97.3%) remained in the healthy weight category at 6 months; and four (2.7%) moved to the overweight category. For those in Healthy Teens, 187 (91.2%) remained in the healthy weight category at 6 months; 15 (7.3%) progressed to the overweight category; and three (1.5%) moved to the obese category.

There were no significant differences between the groups at 6 months post-intervention on self-reported outcomes of anxiety or depression. For marijuana, 8.7% of those in COPE and 8.5% of those in Healthy Teens reported use in the past 30 days (chi-square = 0.01, p = 0.93). At 6 months post-intervention, there was a trend for less alcohol use in the COPE group than the Healthy Teens group (COPE, 11.9%; Healthy Teens, 17.1%; chi-square = 3.47, p = 0.06).

Table 3. Outcomes, M (95% CI) unless otherwise indicated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>COPE estimate</th>
<th>Healthy teen estimate</th>
<th>Between-group differences (95% CI)</th>
<th>F-value or χ²</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steps per day</td>
<td>13,681 (11,829, 15,533)</td>
<td>9619.17 (7832.45, 11,406)</td>
<td>4061.83 (1437, 6686.66)</td>
<td>9.34b</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postintervention</td>
<td>24.57 (24.46, 24.68)</td>
<td>24.77 (24.67, 24.87)</td>
<td>−0.20 (−0.35, −0.05)</td>
<td>6.69b</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month follow-up</td>
<td>24.72 (24.55, 24.88)</td>
<td>25.05 (24.90, 25.20)</td>
<td>−0.34 (−0.56, −0.11)</td>
<td>8.43b</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Skills Rating Scale: subscales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperation</td>
<td>15.50 (14.93, 16.06)</td>
<td>14.59 (14.07, 15.11)</td>
<td>0.904 (0.129, 1.68)</td>
<td>5.25b</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assertion</td>
<td>13.30 (12.67, 13.93)</td>
<td>10.41 (9.81, 11.02)</td>
<td>2.89 (2.00, 3.77)</td>
<td>41.25b</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic competence</td>
<td>97.97 (96.35, 99.59)</td>
<td>95.69 (94.21, 97.18)</td>
<td>1.47 (0.05, 2.89)</td>
<td>4.03b</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health grade</td>
<td>2.80 (2.63, 2.97)</td>
<td>2.46 (2.27, 2.65)</td>
<td>0.335 (0.08, 0.59)</td>
<td>6.73b</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance use in past 30 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol, ≥1 drink(s)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.09, 0.18)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.16, 0.25)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.51, 0.73)</td>
<td>4.28c</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana</td>
<td>0.02 (0.04, 0.10)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.06, 0.12)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.41, 0.72)</td>
<td>0.72c</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion overweight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-intervention</td>
<td>0.44 (0.39, 0.49)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.36, 0.46)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-intervention</td>
<td>0.42 (0.37, 0.47)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.36, 0.45)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month follow-up</td>
<td>0.41 (0.36, 0.47)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.38, 0.48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-intervention to 6-month follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety at 6 months</td>
<td>47.40 (46.50, 48.31)</td>
<td>46.95 (46.11, 47.79)</td>
<td>0.46 (−0.79, −1.70)</td>
<td>0.52b</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression at 6 months</td>
<td>47.03 (46.21, 47.85)</td>
<td>46.55 (45.80, 47.29)</td>
<td>0.49 (−0.63, −1.60)</td>
<td>0.73b</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aOutcomes reported are at post-intervention except as indicated.
bF-test
cχ² test
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At 6 months, COPE teens had a significantly lower BMI. In addition, fewer teens who were in the healthy weight category at baseline in COPE versus Healthy Teens progressed to the overweight category at 6 months, and none became obese. These findings indicate that a cognitive–behavioral skills-building intervention combined with nutrition education and a brief period of physical activity may be an effective way to prevent overweight and obesity in teens.

It is alarming that the latest YRBS also found that 38% of youth reported drinking alcohol and 7.8% reported attempting suicide, both increases from the prior survey. As a result, the YRBS concluded that more effective school-based programs are needed to improve teen health outcomes. Because prior work has shown that lower self-esteem and higher levels of anxiety and depression are related to less-healthy lifestyle beliefs and behavior choices, the building of cognitive–behavioral skills in adolescents may be a key strategy for improving not only their healthy lifestyle behaviors and physical health, but also their social skills and mental health outcomes.

In the national agenda for improving the state of obesity, it is unfortunate that mental/psychosocial health has been largely missing as a key construct to target in healthy lifestyle programs. Further, most obesity prevention and treatment studies have focused on school-age children in school settings. Thus, there is little evidence to guide high schools in health curricula that can positively influence not only adolescent healthy lifestyle behaviors, but also their psychosocial health and academic performance.

Unlike prior studies that have shown reductions in depression and anxiety when COPE was implemented by the current research team members, no significant differences were found between the COPE and Healthy Teens total groups on these outcomes in this trial. However, there were significantly less depressive symptoms post-intervention in COPE teens with severe depression at baseline than Healthy teens with severe depression at baseline and there were numerous comments written by COPE students on their program evaluation indicating that COPE helped them to deal effectively with stress and anger as well as feel better about themselves. Less-than-adequate intervention fidelity by some of the teachers in this study may have influenced the lack of total group differential findings on these outcomes.

Limitations
Random assignment of schools to intervention conditions was conducted. However, a limitation of this study was that the two groups of students differed on some variables at baseline (e.g., weight, TV viewing time). As a result, these variables were used as covariates in the analyses.

Discussion
Findings from this study indicate that COPE had a positive impact on physical activity, BMI, psychosocial outcomes, and grade performance in high school adolescents. To our knowledge, this is the first study to show improvements over time in multiple outcomes with a manualized teacher-delivered cognitive–behavioral skills-building intervention integrated into a high school health education curriculum. Whereas other studies have found short-term positive effects on health knowledge and BMI with multicomponent interventions that typically include nutrition education, physical activity, and behavior modification, the current study indicates that multiple immediate and 6-month outcomes can be positively affected by teaching adolescents cognitive–behavioral skills, which include cognitive reappraisal, emotional and behavioral regulation, stress and coping, and learning how to set goals and problem-solve barriers to living a healthy lifestyle.

Recent findings from the national 2011 Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) indicated that the percentage of high school students who are obese increased during 2009–2011. Because overweight/obesity predisposes teens to adverse health outcomes compared to their non-overweight counterparts, including type 2 diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, sleep apnea, asthma, and a shortened life span, there is an urgent need to develop and test interventions that can prevent and reduce this problem. In addition, overweight and obese teens have a higher prevalence of school and mental health problems, including academic problems, decreased social skills, increased depressive and anxiety disorders, and a greater number of reported suicide attempts.
Another limitation of the trial was teacher intervention fidelity. The study team observed incidents of decreased fidelity to the intervention that occurred at least once, in approximately half of the classrooms. Immediate corrective measures by the team were instituted with the teachers when deviations from fidelity occurred.

The decision was made to have teachers deliver COPE because it is more feasible, cost effective, and sustainable for high school educators who teach health to implement the program, avoiding the need for hiring additional personnel or relying on study team members to continue to deliver the intervention in the future. In the midst of school budget reductions across the country, sustainability of the COPE intervention, long after funding for the study has ended, would be enhanced by training teachers to deliver COPE. Studies have indicated that teachers may be better at sustaining longer-term positive outcomes because interventions may be reinforced in classrooms.47,48 However, studies also note that the effectiveness of interventions is linked to implementation quality and fidelity.49 Therefore, it is imperative for future studies to monitor teacher implementation and provide added supports to increase intervention fidelity.

Although there is a small body of evidence to support positive academic outcomes with mental health/social skills–building interventions and physical activity programs, the majority of these studies were conducted with school-aged children.19,50 The measurement of academic outcomes in future studies, including standardized test scores, when conducting school-based health promotion programs also is essential because public education has been pressured to improve academic performance of students, and added content must be justified as not only efficacious but also cost effective.

Conclusion

Findings from the current trial provide evidence that a teacher-delivered cognitive–behavioral skills-building intervention can positively affect a variety of important outcomes for high school adolescents at risk for a multitude of problems. Routine integration of COPE into health education curricula by teachers in real-world high school settings has the potential to improve health, psychosocial, and academic outcomes in high-risk populations of teens.
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